

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ROBERT A. KENT HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES 2600 SOUTH 2ND STREET DUNCAN OK 73536

COPY MAILED

JAN 1 6 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Eldon D. Dalrymple et al. Application No. 10/612, 271

Filed: July 2, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 2002-IP-007945

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed August 9, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137 (b) is **GRANTED**.

A Notice of Allowability, mailed March 23, 2006, required the submission of corrected drawings on or before June 23, 2006. This application became abandoned June 26, 2006. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed August 1, 2006.

All other requirements under 37 CFR 1.137(b) having been met, this matter is being referred to the Publishing Division.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned

Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and